## **REMARKS**

Claims 1-13 are pending. Independent claims 1, 7, and 13 would be amended by entry of this Amendment.

The Advisory Action's withdrawal of the rejections of claims 1-13 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) for anticipation by U.S. Patent No. 6,072,248 to Muise et al. ("Muise") is acknowledged.

It has been pointed out during the prosecution of this application that monitoring a vehicle's speed with a speed/radar gun, which of course is remote to the vehicle, has nothing to do with the subject matters defined by the claims. Nevertheless, the Advisory Action contends that the Request for Reconsideration that was filed on December 11, 2006, does not place this application in condition for allowance because some <u>uncited</u> "other prior art suggested 'monitoring a vehicle's speed' with a speed/radar gun; and storing that on a computer readable medium (for claim 13)".

In an effort to expedite the prosecution of this application, the independent claims would be amended to recite that vehicle-speed monitoring/determining is performed from the monitored vehicle, clearly distinguishing over speed monitoring from an external location, such as by a speed/radar gun. This feature is already present in independent claim 7 (which even so would also be cosmetically amended), and thus entry of this Amendment raises no new issues.

It is believed that entry of this Amendment will place the application in condition for allowance, and thus entry and a Notice of Allowance are respectfully solicited. If the Examiner has any questions, the undersigned attorney may be telephoned at the number given below.

Potomac Patent Group PLLC P.O. Box 270 Fredericksburg, VA 22404

Tel: 919 928 9979

Filed January 30, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

/Michael G. Savage/

Michael G. Savage Registration No. 32,596